DIENT COOLENATION INCALL

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

_ ..

ROTTEFELLA AS N-3490 Klokkarstua NORVÈGE

MEISSNER, BOLTE				Ze	Re	Sk	
& PARTNER						Sį	Sk
EING	11.	Sep.	2007	7	ΪX	Во	Sk
	•	•			ср	Kf	Sk
WV				Lh	Sk	Ru	Sk
LT				Su	Sk	Hz	Şk

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
23 August 2007 (23.08.2007)

Applicant's or agent's file reference M/WIT-143-PC

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000032

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International filing date (day/month/year)
10 January 2005 (10.01.2005)

Applicant

ROTTEFELLA AS et al

1.	Transmittal	of	the	translation to	the	applicant.
----	-------------	----	-----	----------------	-----	------------

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Cecile Chatel

Feedimile No. 141 22 220 02 20

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference M/WIT-143-PC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/IB2005/000032	International filing date (day/month/year) 10 January 2005 (10.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant ROTTEFELLA AS					

_									
1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).								
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.								
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.								
3.	This report contains indications i	elating to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report							
	Box No. II	Priority							
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention							
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited							
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application							
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application							
4.	The International Bureau will comnot, except where the applicant madate (Rule 44bis .2).	municate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but lkes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority							

	Date of issuance of this report 14 August 2007 (14.08.2007)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Cecile Chatel
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt13.pct@wipo.int
Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION M/WIT-143-PC See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IB2005/000032 10.01.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A63C9/00, A63C5/00 Applicant ROTTEFELLA AS This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer Facsimile No. Telephone No

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/000032

В	ox No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	With a	regard to the language, this opinion unless otherwise indicated under t	on has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it wa his item.
		This opinion has been established	on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
2.	With r inventi	egard to any nucleotide and/or on, this opinion has been establish	amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed on the basis of:
	a. ty	ype of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence	ce listing
	b. fo	ormat of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. tir	ne of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international	application as filed.
		7	ional application in computer readable form.
		-	Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In fur file	addition, in the case that more the things of the required statements the dor does not go beyond the appli	han one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or at the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as cation as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
1 .	Addition	al comments:	
		•	

International application No.
PCT/IB2005/000032

Rox	No. V Reasoned stateme citations and expl	nt under Ru anations sur	ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; poorting such statement	
1.	Statement		p out out out out out of	
	Novelty (N)	Claims	6, 7, 10-12	YES
		Claims	1-5, 8, 9, 13, 14	NO NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	6, 7, 10-12	YES
		Claims -	1-5, 8, 9, 13, 14	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims _	1-14	YES
		Claims _		NO

- Citations and explanations:
 - 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US-A-5 143 395 (MAYR ET AL) 1 September 1992 (1992-09-01)
 - D2: WO 2004/045728 A (MADSHUS A/S; BJERTNAES, GUNNAR) 3 June 2004 (2004-06-03)
 - D3: WO 02/49728 A (ELAN, D.D; SARABON, MATJAZ;
 AVGUSTIN, VINKO; RAVNIK, JANEZ) 27 June 2002
 (2002-06-27)
 - D4: DE 38 38 569 A1 (SALOMON S.A., PRINGY, FR)

 1 June 1989 (1989-06-01)
 - D5: FR-A-2 569 119 (SALOMON ET FILS ETS FRANCOIS; SALOMON SA) 21 February 1986 (1986-02-21)
 - D6: EP-A-1 240 925 (ALLMANN, ANDREAS)
 18 September 2002 (2002-09-18)
 - 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
 - 2.1 The present application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel under PCT Article 33(2). Document D1 discloses (the

International application No.
PCT/IB2005/000032

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

references between parentheses apply to this document):

A ski (1) or similar snow sliding device, having a mounting plate (3) mounted on the top surface (2) of the ski, the mounting plate (3) being of film type and being adhesively bondable to the top surface (2) of the ski or weldable thereto in such a way that it defines a structural unit therewith.

See comments in Box VIII, 1-5

Furthermore, claim 1 likewise fails to satisfy the requirement of novelty in the light of D2-D6 (see search report), with the mounting plate designs corresponding to claim 1 in various possible variants.

- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-5, 8, 9, 13, 14

 Claims 2-5, 8, 9, 13, 14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, satisfy the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.
- 3.1 D1 discloses the features of claims 2-4, 8 and 14 (see search report). Consequently, D1 does not differ from the features of claims 2-4, 8 and 14, so meaning that these claims are not novel under PCT Article 33(2).
- 3.2 D2 discloses the features of claims 3, 4, 8, 9

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement and 13 (see search report). Consequently, D2 does not differ from the features of claims 3, 4, 8, 9and 13, so meaning that these claims are not novel under PCT Article 33(2).

- D3 discloses the features of claims 3, 4, 8 and 14 $\,$ 3.3 (see search report). Consequently, D3 does not differ from the features of claims 3, 4, 8 and 14, so meaning that these claims are not novel under PCT Article 33(2).
- D4 discloses the features of claims 2, 3, 5 and 8 $\,$ 3.4 (see search report). Consequently, D4 does not differ from the features of claims 2, 3, 5 and 8, so meaning that these claims are not novel under PCT Article 33(2).
- D5 discloses the features of claims 5 and 13 (see 3.5 search report). Consequently, D5 does not differ from the features of claims 5 and 13, so meaning that these claims are not novel under PCT Article 33(2).
- 3.6 D6 discloses the features of claim 13 (see search report). Consequently, D6 does not differ from the features of claim 13, so meaning that this claim is not novel under PCT Article 33(2).
- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 6, 7, 10-12 The combination of features contained in the dependent claims is neither known from nor suggested by the available prior art. The reasons

International application No.
PCT/IB2005/000032

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

are as follows:

- The prior art does not disclose mounting plates which have a markedly lower modulus of elasticity "E" than that of body of the ski, in particular with values of 500 to 2000 MPa.
- The prior art does not disclose mounting plates which have an external web as the boundary of a groove, said web projecting slightly beyond the level of the bottom of the central base part.
- The prior art does not disclose mounting plates which comprise a groove with a depth of 0.15 to 0.25 mm.
- The prior art does not disclose mounting plates which comprise a projection on the longitudinal sides as a movement limit stop for a binding slid onto the mounting plate.

International application No.
PCT/IB2005/000032

Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Box VIII

- 1. The phrase "in particular" used in claims 1
 (line 1), 2 (line 3), 5 (line 3), 6 (line 4), 10
 (line 4) and 12 (line 3) and the term "for" used
 in claim 1 (line 2) are not limiting and therefore
 the features introduced thereby should not be
 taken into consideration or only conditionally.
 The consequence of this is that the definition of
 the subject matter of these claims must be
 interpreted by the Examining Division as stated in
 Box V 2.1: PCT Article 6.
- 2. The relative term "virtually" used in claim 1 (line 7) and the relative term "approximately" used in claims 2 (line 3), 10 (line 4) and 11 (line 4) are not clear and are not limiting, and therefore these terms have not been taken into consideration. The consequence of this is that the definition of the subject matter of these claims must be interpreted by the Examining Division as stated in Box V 2.1. PCT Article 6.
- 3. The term "structural unit" used in claim 1
 (line 7) is very general. Any assembly of at
 least 2 objects which are held together forms such
 a structural unit. This may possibly lead to a
 different interpretation by the Examining Division
 from that intended by the applicant.
 PCT Article 6.

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

- 4. The technical terms "film" and "injection moulding" in claim 1 both relate to the mounting plate. It is not technically conventional, or possible, to produce a film by an injection moulding process. PCT Article 6.
- 5. Equally, a "film", as in claim 1, is not compatible with a thickness of 1.5 to 3.5 mm, as in claim 2. A definition of the thickness of a film is not possible, but nevertheless it would generally be understood technically that this thickness is not suitable for a film. Since, in the further claims, the film is subjected to structural measures such as notches, groove, web, etc., the Examining Division regards the feature "film" to be inappropriate, and thus as nonlimiting, and has based its examination on "plates" as a technical definition. PCT Article 6.
- 6. In claim 8 the term "incorporated" is used. This is unclear. Is it intended to mean the continuation of form lines over different materials, or the direct proximity of materials, or the replacement of material layers by other materials? PCT Article 6.